





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,380	12/10/2001	Gary Alan Hayter	4943  EXAMINER  TAYLOR, BARRY W	
40318 75	90 07/21/2005			
ALAN BURN 108 RAMONA	•			
	LLEY, CA 94028		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, -		2643	•
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/016,380	HAYTER ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Barry W. Taylor	2643					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>		e final rejection, whicheve	ario later In no				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	er is later. Ill flo				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW D				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e							
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must to AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set to	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(	a).				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below</li> </ul>		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	•		i trie issues tor				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>see continuation sheet attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>Description is reply has overcome the following rejection(s).</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling</li> </ul>							
the non-allowable claim(s).			_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b)	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected to:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A before as as Aberdah of Cities as A	lata to					
B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n or the status of the claims after e	and y is below or attac	nicu.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12 Note the attached Information Disclasure Statement(s)		N. 7. N					

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

13. Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/016,380 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

The Examiner reviewed Applicant's remarks and amendments to claims with new issues, which changes the scope of the claims requiring further search and/or consideration. Applicants deleting claims 1-20 and amending and rearranging claims as newly amended claims 21-37 clearly changes the scope of the claims requiring further search and consideration.